“思想深度”

学生会只是一个独立的东西 但是我发现我存在偏见，我怎样去消除偏见

为什么这样说呢：不是A就是B，不是B就是C

思维模式

动态的，不一样的立场不一样的简介

安藤忠雄 所谓传统，不是看得见的形体，而是支撑形体的精神。我认为，汲取这种精神并在现代活用，才是继承传统的真意，我以这个理念进行自己的建筑设计。

不是所有东西都是黑白分明的

When I saw the changing aurora drawing its trail above the land of Tromso from the website of Norway, I immediately decide to fly over there and witness them by myself; when I was told that carnivorism is a sin in Buddhism, I decide to pray to the Buddha for mercy because I thought that’s the most direct way to prevent me from go to hell if I can’t resist my temptation on meat. Since I was born, I have a system of thinking that is utterly direct, and it has influenced the way I interact with the world as I grow.

My direct thinking often led myself to simple solutions to problems. It has helped me with solving daily life problems like making a GPA calculator app to check our GPA immediately after knowing our scores or replacing the malfunctioned part of my phone with a new one. Although my direct thinking allows me to easily respond to problems without hesitations, it becomes an obstacle when I met problems that don’t have direct solutions. I often fail to resolve the argue with my friends during group projects because I always believed that my way is the better way to solve the problem.

I finally realized this flaw during an online meeting of the Associated Student Body. I met a situation that I believed was unfair: I was informed that the theme of our Winter Ball would be changed without a democratic vote. I raised my oppositions aggressively by sending a super long text response raising the discussion of this decision onto a moral level. Nobody sent anything after I sent my opposition in the group chat. While I was happy about my victory on this topic, my closest friend, who is also in ASB, talked to me about her concerns about what I’d sent. I kept fighting with her and insist on my stand until she started to get really angry and went offline. I thought she decided to go offline because she couldn’t win the debate. So, I tried to tell her it’s ok to lose and there’s no need to get angry, but she didn’t respond at all.

Trying to find out what has happened, I scrolled back and checked the group chat history, trying to figure out what have I done wrong. Soon, I discovered that my argument was based on misinterpretation: I forgot to attend the discussion on changing the theme. I didn’t see that I was the problem because I wanted to fix the people as if they were as simple as coding a calculator, and this agony has triggered my friend. But I still hear the voice from my direct thinking, telling me that even if I was wrong, it will be shameful to admit my mistake and apologize.

I closed my eyes and tried to focus, using my direct thinking to predict the consequence of insisting on my argument. Then, I realized that if I don’t admit my mistake, I could lose my closest friend! Recognizing a big mistake that I was about to make, I sent a long and sincere apologize letter to both my close friend and the ASB. Luckily, they accepted my apology. Strangely, I didn’t feel sad because I lost my “dignity”. Instead I found myself happy because I managed to combine my direct thinking and multi-perspective thinking, and it has allowed me to put down my pride and focus on what really matters: Think for the others.

I started to benefit from this combined thinking. I only cared about the scores each of my Dart Club members achieved before. Now I learned to check on the emotions of my members and comfort them when they look sad after throwing a bad round of darts. This has increased the cohesion of the club, giving all of us warmth, making Dart Club a second family for us. Unlike only focusing on the technical aspects of Computer Science, now I also investigate how it has affected the society around me, allowing me to create products not only solving life problems, but impacting the community socially. I am on a journey of understanding and breaking misunderstandings, and I hope to enlighten the others who used to be like me.